

350 Linden Oaks, Third Floor | Rochester, NY 14625-2825 | bsk.com

STEPHANIE HOPPE FEDORKA, ESQ.

sfedorka@bsk.com P: 585.342.4736 F: 585.362.4701

May 12, 2025

## SUBMITTED VIA ECF

Hon. Mark W. Pedersen, United States Magistrate Judge Kenneth B. Keating Federal Building **United States District Court** Western District of New York 100 State Street Rochester, New York 14614

Re: Koszkul v. Carney v. Rochester Institute of Technology

Index No.: 24-cv-06480-EAW-MWP

## Dear Judge Pedersen:

We represent Third-Party Defendant, Rochester Institute of Technology ("RIT") in the above-referenced matter. On April 4, 2025, RIT filed a Motion to Dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff/Defendant, Michelle Carney's ("Ms. Carney") Amended Third-Party Complaint (Docket No. 77), which seeks dismissal of Ms. Carney's Amended Third-Party Complaint in its entirety.

On April 18, 2025, Ms. Carney's attorney filed an Opposition to RIT's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 80). On May 2, 2025, RIT filed a Reply in further support of its Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 85).

On May 7, 2025, Ms. Carney's attorney submitted a request to Your Honor seeking permission to file a sur-reply in connection with RIT's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 86).

On Friday, May 9, 2025, without permission to do so, Ms. Carney's counsel filed a surreply. Pursuant to Local Rule 7(a)(6), "[a]bsent permission of the Judge hearing the motion, sur-reply papers are not permitted."

According to the Local Rules, Ms. Carney's submission of a sur-reply is improper. As such, RIT respectfully requests that this Court not consider the sur-reply in consideration of RIT's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 87).

We thank the Court for its consideration in this matter.

Hon. Mark W. Pedersen May 12, 2025 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC

Stephanie Hoppe Fedorka, Esq.

Otophonica. Tedorh